

# PDE for Finance, Solutions for Sheet 7

11

1 a) For  $n=0$ , we have  $z_1 \leq C z_0$ .

Now assume that the claim holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .

$$\text{I.e. assume } z_n \leq D \frac{C^n - 1}{C - 1} + z_0 C^n \quad (*)$$

Then

$$z_{n+1} \stackrel{\text{Assumption}}{\leq} C z_n + D \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} C \left( D \frac{C^n - 1}{C - 1} + z_0 C^n \right) + D$$

$$= D \left( \frac{C^{n+1} - C}{C - 1} + 1 \right) + z_0 C^{n+2}$$

$$= D \left( \frac{C^{n+1} - C + C - 1}{C - 1} \right) + z_0 C^{n+2} = D \frac{C^{n+1} - 1}{C - 1} + z_0 C^{n+2}$$

So if the claim holds for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , it also holds for the next bigger integer. Since it holds for  $n=0$ , it holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$$b) \quad y(t_{n+1}) \equiv y(t_n + h) = y(t_n) + h y'(t_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} y''(t_n) + O(h^3)$$

$$= y(t_n) + h F(y(t_n), t_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (F(y(t), t)) \Big|_{t=t_n} + O(h^3)$$

$$= y(t_n) + h F(y(t_n), t_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} \left[ \underbrace{\partial_y F(y(t_n), t_n)}_{= F(y(t_n), t_n)} y'(t_n) + \partial_t F(y(t_n), t_n) \right] + O(h^3)$$

$$= y(t_n) + h F(y(t_n), t_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} \left( \partial_y F(y(t_n), t_n) F(y(t_n), t_n) + \partial_t F(y(t_n), t_n) \right) + O(h^3)$$

$$c) \phi(h) := F(y(t_n) + h F(y(t_n), t_n), t_n + h).$$

2
PDE F
Sh. 7

$$\phi(h) = \phi(0) + h \phi'(0) + O(h^3)$$

$$= F(y(t_n) + h F(y(t_n), t_n), t_n) +$$

$$h \left( \left[ (\partial_y F)(y(t_n), t_n) \right] \cdot F(y(t_n), t_n) + \partial_t F(y(t_n), t_n) \right) + O(h^2)$$

$$\Rightarrow h \cdot \left( (\partial_y F)(y(t_n), t_n) F(y(t_n), t_n) + \partial_t F(y(t_n), t_n) \right) =$$

$$= \underbrace{F(y(t_n) + h F(y(t_n), t_n), t_n + h)}_{= \phi(h)} - \underbrace{F(y(t_n), t_n)}_{\phi(0)} + O(h^2) + O(h^3)$$

Plug this into the expression in b) to get

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y(t_n) + \frac{h}{2} F(y(t_n), t_n) + \frac{h}{2} F(y(t_n) + h F(y(t_n), t_n), t_n + h) + O(h^3).$$

So the second order scheme is

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h}{2} \left( F(y_n, t_n) + F(y_n + h F(y_n, t_n), t_{n+1}) \right)$$

with  $t_n = hn$ .

2) We discretize time with step size  $h$  and space with step size  $h_x$ . We write  $t_n = t_0 + nh$ , for  $0 \leq n \leq N$ .

with  $N = \frac{T-t_0}{h}$ . Thus,  $t_N = T$ . We write  $x_j = h_x \cdot j$ .

$$\text{Now, } \partial_t u(x_j, t_n) = \frac{1}{h} (u(x_j, t_n) - u(x_j, t_{n-1})) + \mathcal{O}(h^n) \quad (*)^3$$

For the  $x$ -derivatives, we use central differences since there is no preferred direction of space. We write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x u(x_j, t_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{h_x} [u(x_{j+1}, t_n) - u(x_{j-1}, t_n)] - \frac{1}{h_x} [u(x_j, t_n) - u(x_{j-1}, t_n)] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h_x} (u(x_{j+1}, t_n) - u(x_{j-1}, t_n)). \end{aligned}$$

$$\partial_x^2 u(x_j, t_n) = \frac{1}{h_x^2} (u(x_{j+1}, t_n) + u(x_{j-1}, t_n) - 2u(x_j, t_n)) + \mathcal{O}(h_x^2)$$

(standard approximation to the second derivative!)

In the HJB-equation, this gives

$$\begin{aligned} u(x_j, t_{n-1}) &= u(x_j, t_n) - h \partial_t u(x_j, t_n) = u(x_j, t_n) - h \left( x_j^r \partial_x u(x_j, t_n) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 \frac{(\partial_x u(x_j, t_n))^2}{\partial_x^2 u(x_j, t_n)} \right) \\ &= u(x_j, t_n) - \frac{h}{h_x} x_j^r \frac{1}{2} (u(x_{j+1}, t_n) - u(x_{j-1}, t_n)) - \\ &\quad - \frac{h}{2} \lambda^2 \frac{\frac{1}{4h_x^2} (u(x_{j+1}, t_n) - u(x_{j-1}, t_n))^2}{\frac{1}{h_x^2} (u(x_{j+1}, t_n) + u(x_{j-1}, t_n) - 2u(x_j, t_n))} + \text{errors} \end{aligned}$$

So the scheme is

$$u_j^{n-1} = u_j^n - \frac{h}{2h_x} x_j^r (u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n) - \frac{h}{8} \lambda^2 \frac{(u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n)^2}{u_{j+1}^n + u_{j-1}^n - 2u_j^n}$$

The last term of this scheme looks harmless at first sight - it even seems that the middle term is the more difficult one, as it constrains  $h \lesssim h_x$ .

The problem with the final term is that for small  $h_x$ , the quantity  $u_{j+1}^n + u_{j-1}^n - 2u_j^n$  is of order  $h_x^2$ , so in the last term we are essentially dividing by zero - this is numerically terrible. Of course, the term  $(u_{j+1}^n - u_{j-1}^n)^2$  is also of order  $h_x^2$ , but still this is a numerical instability.

Note that due to the final condition, we chose the scheme so that we compute  $u_j^{n-1}$  from  $u_j^n$ .