

1) a) By definition,

$$u(\lambda x, t) = \max_{\alpha} \int_t^T e^{-\rho s} \alpha(\tilde{y}(s), s)^{\gamma} ds, \quad (*)$$

where  $\tilde{y}(s) \equiv \tilde{y}(\alpha, s)$  solves  $\partial_s \tilde{y}(s) = r \tilde{y}(s) - \alpha(s, \tilde{y}(s))$  with initial condition  $y(t) = \lambda x$ , and this  $\alpha$  being the control that in  $(*)$  is maximized. In particular,

$$u(\lambda x, t) \geq \int_t^T e^{-\rho s} \alpha_0(y(s), s)^{\gamma} ds$$

for all possible controls  $\alpha_0$  and all  $y(s)$  solving the ODE with that control  $\alpha_0$ . With the suggested control

$\tilde{\alpha}(t) = \lambda \alpha_x(t)$  (and  $\alpha_x(t)$  the optimal control when starting in  $x$ ),

we find

$$u(\lambda x, t) \geq \int_t^T e^{-\rho s} (\lambda \alpha_x(s))^{\gamma} ds = \lambda^{\gamma} \int_t^T e^{-\rho s} \alpha_x(s)^{\gamma} ds$$

Since  $\alpha_x$  is optimal!

$$\underline{\quad} \lambda^{\gamma} u(x, t).$$

But the same is true with  $\frac{1}{\lambda}$  instead of  $\lambda$ , and thus

$$u\left(\frac{1}{\lambda} x, t\right) \geq \lambda^{-\gamma} u(x, t) \stackrel{y = \frac{1}{\lambda} x}{\Rightarrow} u(y, t) \geq \lambda^{-\gamma} u(\lambda y, t)$$

$$\Rightarrow u(\lambda y, t) \leq \lambda^{\gamma} u(y, t)$$

Together, we find  $u(\lambda x, t) = \lambda^{\gamma} u(x, t)$ .

b) Clearly,  $u(x, T) = 0$  for all  $x$ , as there is no final payout.

Assume that we know  $u(x, s)$  for some  $s > t$  and all  $x$ .

For small  $ds$ ,

$$u(x, s-ds) = \max_{\alpha} \int_{s-ds}^{\min(s, \tau)} e^{-\rho r} \alpha(y(r), r) \delta dr + \int_{\min(s, \tau)}^{\tau} e^{-\rho r} \alpha(y(r), r) \delta dr = (*)$$

Now  $\int_{\min(s, \tau)}^{\tau} e^{-\rho r} \alpha(y(r), r) \delta dr = u(y(s), s)$ . For  $s < \tau$  this is by definition, and for  $s > \tau$  both are zero (as then  $y(s) = 0$ , and  $u(0, s) = 0$ ).

So, by approximating the integral with  $\delta t \cdot$  "value of the integrand", we find

$$(*) \approx \max_{\alpha} [e^{-\rho s} \alpha(y(s), s) \delta s + u(y(s), s)] = (**).$$

Now do a Taylor expansion of the function  $s \mapsto u(y(s), s)$  around  $(s-ds)$ :

$$u(y(s), s) \approx u(\underbrace{y(s-ds)}_{=x}, s-ds) + \partial_x u(y(s-ds), s-ds) \underbrace{\partial_s y(s-ds) \delta s}_{=x} \\ = \underbrace{r y(s-ds)}_{=x} - \alpha(\underbrace{y(s-ds)}_{=x}, s-ds) + \partial_s u(y(s-ds), s-ds) \delta s.$$

Plug this into  $(**)$  and cancel the terms  $u(x, s-ds)$  to get

$$0 \approx \max_{\alpha} [e^{-\rho s} \alpha(y(s), s) + \partial_y u(x, s-ds) (r x - \alpha(x, s-ds)) + \partial_s u(x, s-ds)] \delta s.$$

Divide by  $\delta s$  and let  $\delta s \rightarrow 0$  to obtain the claim.

PDE 7 SS  
13

c)  $u(x,t) = g(t)x^\gamma$ , as  $x \mapsto Cx^\gamma$  is the only function

having the scaling we found in a). Plug into the HJB-equation:

$$g'(t)x^\gamma + \max_{d \geq 0} \left( (rx - \alpha)g(t)\gamma x^{\gamma-1} + e^{-\rho t} \alpha^\gamma \right) = 0$$

Find the maximum by differentiating wrt  $\alpha$ :

$$0 = -g(t)\gamma x^{\gamma-1} + \gamma e^{-\rho t} \alpha^{\gamma-1} \Rightarrow \alpha_* = \left( g(t)e^{\rho t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} x$$

Plug this back in:

$$g'(t)x^\gamma + \left( r - \left( g(t)e^{\rho t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} \right) g(t)\gamma x^\gamma + e^{-\rho t} \left( g(t)e^{\rho t} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}} x^\gamma = 0$$

Cancel  $x^\gamma$  and simplify (using  $\frac{1}{\gamma-1} + 1 = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}$ ):

$$0 = g'(t) + r\gamma g(t) - \gamma \left( g(t)e^{\rho t} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma-1}} g(t) + e^{-\rho t} g(t)e^{\rho t} \left( g(t)e^{\rho t} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$$

This gives (\*\*). With  $G = e^{\rho t} g$ , we have

$$g'(t) = \left( e^{-\rho t} G(t) \right)' = -\rho e^{-\rho t} G(t) + e^{-\rho t} G'(t).$$

Then (\*\*) becomes

$$0 = e^{-\rho t} (-\rho G + G') + r\gamma e^{-\rho t} G + (1-\gamma) G^{\frac{\gamma}{1-\gamma}} G e^{-\rho t}.$$

Cancelling  $e^{-\rho t}$  gives (\*\*\*). Finally for  $H = G^{\frac{1}{1-\gamma}}$ ,

$G' = (H^{1-\gamma})' = (1-\gamma)H^{-\gamma} H'$ . Then (\*\*\*) becomes

$$0 = (1-\gamma)H^{-\gamma} H' + (r\gamma - \rho)H^{1-\gamma} + (1-\gamma)(H^{1-\gamma})^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}}$$

$$= (1-\gamma)H^{-\gamma} H' + (\gamma - \rho)H^{-\gamma} H + (1-\gamma)H^{-\gamma}.$$

Dividing by  $(1-\gamma)H^{-\gamma}$  gives the desired equation for  $H$ .

d) The solution to  $\partial_t H - \mu H + 1 = 0$ ,  $H(T) = 0$  is.

$$H(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} (1 - e^{-\mu(T-t)}). \text{ So,}$$

$$G(t) = \left(\frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{\gamma-1} (1 - e^{-\mu(T-t)})^{1-\gamma}, \text{ and}$$

$$g(t) = e^{-\rho t} \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{\rho-\gamma}\right)^{1-\gamma} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\rho-\gamma}{1-\gamma}(T-t)}\right)^{1-\gamma}.$$

$$\text{and } u(x,t) = \frac{1-\gamma}{\rho-\gamma} (1 - \exp(-\frac{\rho-\gamma}{1-\gamma}(T-t)))^\gamma.$$

2) a) Note that  $v(x,t) = e^{\rho t} u(x,t)$ , where

$$u(x,t) = \max_{\vec{a}} \left( \int_t^T e^{-\rho s} h(y(s), \vec{a}(s)) + e^{-\rho T} g(y(T)) \right).$$

So,  $u$  is just like the utility in a), except that  $e^{-\rho T} g(x)$  is the final utility, the running utility  $h$  is general, and

$\partial_s \vec{y}(s) = f(\vec{y}(s), \vec{a}(s))$  is a more general ODE. This leads to the HJB equation

$$\partial_t u + \max_{\vec{a}} \left( f(\vec{x}, \vec{a}) \cdot \nabla u + e^{-\rho t} h(\vec{x}, \vec{a}) \right) = 0 \quad (**)$$

$$u(x,T) = e^{-\rho T} g(x). \text{ So,}$$

$$\partial_t v = \rho e^{\rho t} u(x,t) + e^{\rho t} \partial_t u(x,t),$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_t u(x,t) = e^{-\rho t} (\partial_t v(x,t) - \rho v(x,t)), \text{ and}$$

$$\nabla u(x,t) = e^{-\rho t} \nabla v(x,t).$$

The desired equation follows from  $(**)$  by dividing by  $e^{-\rho t}$ .

2b) First we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}(\vec{x}, t+\tau) &= \max_{\vec{\alpha}} \int_{t+\tau}^{\infty} e^{-\rho(s-t-\tau)} h(\vec{y}(s), \vec{\alpha}(s)) ds && r = s - \tau \\ &= \max_{\vec{\alpha}} \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\rho(r-t)} h(\vec{y}(r+\tau), \vec{\alpha}(r+\tau)) dr = (*). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\vec{y}$  solves  $\partial_s \vec{y}(s) = f(\vec{y}(s), \vec{\alpha}(s))$  with  $\vec{y}(t+\tau) = \vec{x}$ . (\*\*)

Now let  $\vec{\alpha}_0$  be any control from the set of which we take the maximum in (\*). Let  $\vec{y}_0$  be the solution of (\*\*) with that  $\vec{\alpha}_0$ , and let  $\vec{y}_{00}(s) = \vec{y}_0(s+\tau)$ . Then  $\vec{y}_{00}$  solves

$$\partial_s \vec{y}_{00}(s) = \partial_s \vec{y}_0(s+\tau) = f(\vec{y}_0(s+\tau), \vec{\alpha}_0(s+\tau)) = f(\vec{y}_{00}(s), \vec{\alpha}_0(s+\tau)), \quad (s \geq t)$$

with  $\vec{y}_{00}(t) = \vec{x}$ . By assumption, the control  $s \mapsto \vec{\alpha}_0(s+\tau), (s \geq t)$ , is allowed. Thus for each allowed control  $\vec{\alpha}_0$  in (\*) we have found an allowed control  $\vec{\alpha}_{00}$  such that  $h(\vec{y}_0(r+\tau), \vec{\alpha}_0(r+\tau)) = h(\vec{y}_{00}(r), \vec{\alpha}_{00}(r))$ .

$$\text{Thus } (***) \leq \max_{\vec{\alpha}_{00}} \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\rho(r-t)} h(\vec{y}_{00}(r), \vec{\alpha}_{00}(r)) dr = \tilde{V}(\vec{x}, t).$$

So  $\tilde{V}(\vec{x}, t+\tau) \leq \tilde{V}(\vec{x}, t)$ . The same argument shows  $\tilde{V}(\vec{x}, t-\tau) \leq \tilde{V}(\vec{x}, t)$ , and equality follows.

Now since  $\tilde{V}$  does not depend on  $t$ , the term  $\partial_t \tilde{V}$  from (a) vanishes, and we get  $-\rho \tilde{V} + H(\vec{x}, \vec{V}_V) = 0$ .