

# Not all codimension 1 germs have good real pictures

David Mond and Roberta Wik Atique

June 2, 2003

## 1 Introduction

Some time ago the first author conjectured (in [9]) that every  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 equivalence class of map-germs  $(\mathbb{C}^n, S) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$ , with  $n \geq p - 1$  and  $(n, p)$  nice dimensions, should have a real form with a “good real perturbation” — that is, the  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence class should contain a real germ (one whose power-series expansion has purely real coefficients) which moreover should have a real perturbation whose real discriminant (if  $n \geq p$ ) or real image (if  $n = p - 1$ ) carries the vanishing homology of its complexification. The purpose of this note is to give an example for which this does not hold — thus proving the conjecture false.

The example is not hard to describe: it is the simplest  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 class of map-germ  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$  of corank 2 (i.e. such that  $\ker(d_0f)$  has dimension 2). Since the codimension in jet space  $J^1(n, n + 1)$  of the set  $\Sigma^2$  of matrices of kernel rank 2 is equal to 6, such a singularity cannot occur if  $n < 5$ .

In fact one can easily construct map-germs  $(\mathbb{C}^5, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^6, 0)$  of  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 and corank 2. From the point of view of this paper, the most straightforward procedure is by transverse pull-back from the stable map-germ  $F : (\mathbb{C}^6, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^7, 0)$  defined by

$$F(A, B, C, D, x, y) = (A, B, C, D, x^2 + Ay, xy + Bx + Cy, y^2 + Dx).$$

That is, if  $i : \mathbb{C}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^7$  is transverse to  $F$ , then the fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{C}^6, 0) & \xrightarrow{F} & (\mathbb{C}^7, 0) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow i \\ (\mathbb{C}^6 \times_{\mathbb{C}^7} \mathbb{C}^6, 0) & \xrightarrow{i^*(F)} & (\mathbb{C}^6, 0) \end{array}$$

gives us a map-germ  $i^*(F)$  from the smooth 5-dimensional complex manifold germ  $(\mathbb{C}^6 \times_{\mathbb{C}^7} \mathbb{C}^6, 0)$  to  $(\mathbb{C}^6, 0)$ . If  $i$  is suitably generic (in a sense we will make precise below) then  $i^*(F)$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1. Every map-germ  $(\mathbb{C}^5, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^6, 0)$  with local algebra isomorphic to that of  $F$  (which is the simplest local algebra of type  $\Sigma^2$ , isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0}/(m_{\mathbb{C}^2, 0})^2$ ), can be obtained by transverse pull-back from  $F$ .

To give a concrete example, one can compute that the germ

$$f(u, v, w, x, y) = (u, v, w, x^2 + uy, xy + vx + wy, y^2 - ux)$$

has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1. This germ is obtained as  $i^*(F)$  by taking

$$i(u, v, w, x, y, z) = (u, v, w, -u, x, y, z).$$

Of course there is no canonical choice of coordinates on the fibre product  $(\mathbb{C}^6 \times_{\mathbb{C}^7} \mathbb{C}^6, 0)$ , and we could equally well have written  $i^*(F)$  in a different form. In fact the  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence class of  $i^*(F)$  is determined by the image  $L$  of  $i$ , and so a more economical way of studying the codimension 1 map-germs obtained in this way is to consider the space of smooth hypersurface-germs transverse to  $F$ . For the same reason we also adopt the notation  $F|_L$  in place of  $i^*(F)$ , although the reader will of course appreciate that since  $L$  is contained in the *target* of  $F$ , this denotes base-change rather than restriction.

In Section 2 we show first that to obtain every  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -equivalence class we need consider only hyperplanes, and among hyperplanes only those of the form  $kA + lB + mC + nD = 0$ . We then show that within the space  $\mathcal{L}$  of these hyperplanes there is a discriminant  $\Delta$ , itself a hypersurface, such that if  $L \notin \Delta$  then  $F|_L$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1.

In Section 3 we study the topology of the complement in  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}}$  of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ ; we do not determine its homology, but we do locate at least one point in each connected component. If  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are in the same connected component of  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus \Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the two germs  $F|_{L_1}$  and  $F|_{L_2}$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) (Remark 2.5 below) and thus we do not need to examine both of them.

In Section 4, we compute the homotopy type of the image of a stable perturbation of map-germs  $F|_L$ , for each hyperplane  $L$  in the list that we determine in Section 3. Up to homeomorphism each real codimension 1 map-germ has two real stable perturbations, corresponding to the sign of the deformation parameter; however here it turns out that the two are isomorphic. This implies a rather strong version of triviality of the monodromy of the family of complex stable perturbations, over  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Using Morse theory, we show that each of these complex images has the homotopy type of a 5-sphere; in consequence, the algebraic closure of the real image of each stable perturbation has the homotopy type of an  $n$ -sphere for some  $n$  between 0 and 5. For none of the examples in our list does  $n$  equal 5.

It turns out that  $\Delta \subset \mathcal{L}$  is a free divisor, with some curious properties; in Section 5 we record some of these.

We are grateful to Jan Stevens for a geometrical observation concerning  $\Delta$ , and to the organisers of the VIth Workshop for running such an enjoyable meeting.

## 2 $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 germs $(\mathbb{C}^5, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^6, 0)$ of type $\Sigma^2$

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $i : (\mathbb{C}^6, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^7, 0)$  be a map germ such that  $i^*(F)$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1. Then*

1.  *$i$  is an immersion, and*
2.  *$i^*(F)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to  $i_{\ell}^*(F)$ , where  $i_{\ell}$  is the linear part of  $i$ .*

**Proof** Write  $f = i^*(F)$ . (1) By a theorem of J. Damon ([6], but see also [10], Section 8),

$$\frac{\theta(f)}{T\mathcal{A}_e f} \simeq NK_{E,e} i := \frac{\theta(i)}{ti(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^6}) + i^*(\text{Der}(\log E))}$$

where  $E = F(\mathbb{C}^6)$ . As  $F$  is not a trivial unfolding of any lower-dimensional germ,  $\text{Der}(\log E) \subset$

$m_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}\theta_{\mathbb{C}^7}$ ; hence

$$1 = \mathcal{A}_e\text{-codimension } f \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\theta(i)}{ti(\theta_{\mathbb{C}^6}) + i^*(\text{Der}(\log E)) + m_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}\theta(i)} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathbb{C}^7}{i_{\ell}(\mathbb{C}^6)}.$$

It follows that the inequality in the centre is an equality, and  $i$  is an immersion.

(2) is essentially Proposition 2.6 of [1], and can also be found, in this formulation, as of [13]. For completeness we outline the proof.

Since  $i^*(F)$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1, we must have

$$ti(m_{\mathbb{C}^6,0}\theta_{\mathbb{C}^6}) + i^*(\text{Der}(\log E)) = m_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}\theta(i);$$

by Nakayama's lemma this holds if and only if

$$ti(m_{\mathbb{C}^6,0}\theta_{\mathbb{C}^6}) + i^*(\text{Der}(\log E)) + m_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}^2\theta(i) = m_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}\theta(i);$$

hence it depends only on the linear part of  $i$ . Let  $i_{\lambda} = i_{\ell} + \lambda(i - i_{\ell})$  be the linear interpolation between  $i_{\ell}$  and  $i$ . For each value of  $\lambda$ ,  $T\mathcal{K}_E i_{\lambda} = m_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}\theta(i_{\lambda})$ , and a standard argument using Mather's Lemma (of [7]) and finite  $\mathcal{K}_E$ -determinacy now shows that the family  $i_{\lambda}$  is  $\mathcal{K}_E$ -trivial (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ). It follows that the family  $i_{\lambda}^*(F)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -trivial, and  $i^*(F)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to  $i_{\ell}^*(F)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2** *If the  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension of  $i_{\ell}^*(F)$  is equal to 1 then  $i_{\ell}$  is  $\mathcal{K}_E$ -equivalent to a linear immersion whose image has equation of the form  $kA + lB + mC + nD = 0$ .*

**Proof** Let  $p$  define the image of  $i_{\ell}$ . By the preceding lemma we may assume that  $p$  is linear. We can express the  $\mathcal{K}_{E,e}$ -normal space of  $i_{\ell}$  (and hence the  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -normal space of  $i_{\ell}^*(F)$ ) in terms of  $p$ :

$$N\mathcal{K}_{E,e}(i_{\ell}) \simeq \frac{\theta(p)}{tp(\text{Der}(\log E)) + (p)\theta(p)}.$$

For if we write  $\mathbb{C}^7 = L \times \mathbb{C}$  and identify  $p$  with projection to  $\mathbb{C}$ , then

$$\frac{\theta(p)}{tp(\text{Der}(\log E)) + (p)\theta(p)} \simeq \frac{\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}}{\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}} + \text{Der}(\log E) + m_{\mathbb{C},0}\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}}.$$

Now

$$\theta(i_{\ell}) = (\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}})|_L = \theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}/m_{\mathbb{C},0}\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}$$

and within  $\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}/m_{\mathbb{C},0}\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}$ ,

$$\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}} = ti_{\ell}(\theta_L),$$

so

$$\frac{\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}}{\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{C}} + \text{Der}(\log E) + m_{\mathbb{C},0}\theta_{L \times \mathbb{C}}} \simeq \frac{\theta(i_{\ell})}{ti_{\ell}(\theta_L) + i^*(\text{Der}(\log E))}.$$

If  $i_{\ell}^*(F)$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1, then  $tp(\text{Der}(\log E)) + (p)\theta(p)$  must be equal to  $m_{\mathbb{C}^7,0}$ . Now the module  $tp(\text{Der}(\log E)) + (p)\theta(p)$  is the tangent space of  $p$  under the action of the group  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ , the semi-direct product of the group  $\mathcal{R}_E$  of diffeomorphisms of  $(\mathbb{C}^7, 0)$  preserving  $E$ ,

with the group  $\mathcal{C}$ . This is a geometric group in the sense of Damon [5]; it follows that  $p$  is finitely  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ -determined.

There is a 7-dimensional family of linear maps  $p$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_1 := \{p = kA + lB + mC + nD + pU + qV + rW : k, l, m, n, p, q, r \in \mathbb{C}\},$$

and within  $\mathcal{L}_1$  a discriminant,  $\Delta_1$ , in principle possibly equal to all of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ , or reduced to the zero map, consisting of linear maps for which  $tp(\text{Der}(\log E)) + (p)\theta(p)$  fails to be equal to  $m_{\mathbb{C}^7, 0}$ . A calculation with *Macaulay* shows that the annihilator in  $\mathbb{C}[A, \dots, W, k, \dots, r]$  of

$$\frac{(A, \dots, W, k, \dots, r)}{TK(E)p}$$

is generated by

$$f(k, l, m, n) := 8kl^3 + 3l^2m^2 + 24klmn + 8m^3n - 16k^2n^2$$

together with polynomials in the ideal  $(A, \dots, W)$ . Thus, the equation of the discriminant is  $f = 0$ , and in particular is independent of the coefficients  $p, q, r$ . Suppose  $L \in \mathcal{L}_1 \setminus \Delta_1$  has equation  $\ell$ , and let  $\ell_0$  be obtained from  $\ell$  by setting to zero the coefficients of  $U, V$  and  $W$ . Then for each  $t$ , the hyperplane  $L_t = \{(1-t)\ell_0 + t\ell = 0\}$  also lies outside  $\Delta_1$ , and it follows from Mather's Lemma (and finite determinacy) that the linear interpolation between  $\ell$  and the map  $\ell_0$  is  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ -trivial. Hence the corresponding family of immersions  $\mathbb{C}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^7$  is  $\mathcal{K}_E$ -trivial. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The Lemma tells us we can forget the family  $\mathcal{L}_1$  of all linear maps  $\mathbb{C}^7 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and consider only those of the form  $kA + lB + mC + nD$ ; we denote the family of these by  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Remark 2.3** The equation for the discriminant  $\Delta$  may also be obtained by the following alternative method, which also relies on *Macaulay*, but which is primarily topological rather than deformation-theoretic.

Suppose that  $L$  is a hyperplane in  $\mathbb{C}^7$ , with defining equation  $h_L(A, \dots, W) = \mathbf{u}_L \cdot (A, \dots, W) = 0$ , and let  $G$  be a reduced defining equation for the image  $E$  of  $F$ . If the  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension of  $F|_L$  is 1, then the family of normal translations of  $L$ ,  $L_t := \{t\mathbf{u}_L\} + L$ ,  $t \in (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ , induces an  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -versal deformation of  $F|_L$ . This follows from the fact that for a deformation of a codimension 1 germ, versality is equivalent to infinitesimal non-triviality. By a Morse-theoretic argument of Dirk Siersma ([12]), the image of  $F|_{L_t}$ , i.e.  $E \cap L_t$ , has the homotopy type of a wedge of spheres, whose number, the *image Milnor number* of  $F|_L$ ,  $\mu_I(F|_L)$ , is equal to the sum of the Milnor numbers of the (isolated) singular points of  $G|_L$  which move off the zero locus  $E \cap L_t$  as  $t$  moves off 0. Let us call these points *indicator points*. For each value of  $t$ , the number of indicator points is finite; as  $t$  varies, they form a curve. In fact this curve is simply the polar curve of  $G$  with respect to the linear form  $h_L$ ; its equations can easily be obtained as the generators of the transporter ideal  $J_L : G$ , where  $J_L$  is the ideal of maximal minors of the  $2 \times 7$  jacobian matrix of the map  $(G, h_L)$ .

It is worth noting that  $V(J_L : G)$  evidently *contains* all the indicator points. However, *a priori* it is not clear that every zero of  $J_L : G$  (off  $\{t = 0\}$ ) is an indicator point. Nevertheless, this is the case. For provided  $t \neq 0$ , each germ of  $F|_{L_t}$  is right-left stable. In Mather's

nice dimensions (which contain (5, 6), cf [8]), every stable germ is weighted homogeneous in appropriate coordinates, and so its image has a weighted homogeneous defining equation. It follows that  $E \cap L_t$  is locally weighted homogeneous at each point, and thus  $G|_{L_t} \in J|_{L_t}$  at any point where  $G = 0$ . Since, for  $t \neq 0$ , the deformation induced by varying  $t$  is right-left trivial, it follows that  $G \in J_L$  at any point where  $G = 0$  and  $t \neq 0$ . Hence the set of indicator points coincides with  $V(J_L : G)$ .

All of this can be done simultaneously for the family of all hyperplanes  $L = \{kA + lB + mC + nD = 0\}$ . We work in  $\mathbb{C}[A, \dots, W, k, \dots, n]$ . Let  $h(A, \dots, n) = kA + lB + mC + nD$ , let  $J$  be the ideal of maximal minors of the jacobian of  $(G, h)$  with respect to the variables  $A, \dots, W$ , and let

$$\mathcal{I}_0 := J : G.$$

Evidently  $\mathcal{I}_0$  has a  $(k, l, m, n)$ -primary component, corresponding to the absent hyperplane for which  $k = l = m = n = 0$ , which we remove by using a transporter ideal. We find that

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_0 : (k, l, m, n)$$

has no  $(k, l, m, n)$ -primary component, and is thus the ideal we require. It is equal to

$$(Ak - 1/3Bl + 1/3Cm - Dn, 4Bm - 4Ck - 3Dl, 3Am + 4Bn - 4Cl, B^2 - 3W, C^2 - 3U, 8BC - 3AD + 12V).$$

We claim that  $V(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$  restricts to a locally trivial fibration over  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \Delta$ , with fibre over  $L \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \Delta$  equal to the set of indicator points of the family  $F|_{L_t}$ , where  $L_t = \{t\mathbf{u}_L\} + L$  is the normal translation of  $L$ . In fact this follows immediately from what we showed in the preceding paragraph: for  $t \neq 0$  and  $L \notin \Delta$ , the deformation induced by varying  $L$  is locally trivial, and so the fibrewise equality

$$\{\text{indicator points}\} = V(J_L : G)$$

gives a relative equality

$$\{\text{relative indicator points}\} = V(J : G) = V(\mathcal{I}).$$

Now  $F|_L$  has image Milnor number 1 if and only if the curve of indicator points in the deformation  $F|_{L_t}$  is non-singular and transverse to  $L$ . We find the ideal of the set of points where this fails, by taking the determinant of the jacobian matrix of the pair  $(g_1, \dots, g_6, h)$ , where  $g_1, \dots, g_6$  generate  $\mathcal{I}$ . Up to a scalar factor, this (thanks to *Macaulay*) gives the equation  $f$  that we found above,

$$f(k, l, m, n) = 8kl^3 + 3l^2m^2 + 24klmn + 8m^3n - 16k^2n^2.$$

We note as soon as we assign numerical values to the parameters  $k, l, m$  and  $n$  for which this equation does not vanish, thus determining a hyperplane  $L \notin \Delta$ , then the specialisation  $\mathcal{I}_L$  of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  defines a smooth curve  $\mathbb{C}^7$  (the curve of indicator points), transverse to  $L$  and to its normal translates  $L_t = \{t\mathbf{u}_L\} + L$ . At any point  $P$  of this curve,

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^7, P}/\mathcal{I}_L + (h_L - t) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{L_t, P}/J_{G|_{L_t}};$$

non-singularity of the curve implies, by Siersma's result, that  $\mu_I(F|_L) = 1$ . This conclusion retrospectively justifies the approach we adopt in this remark.

We record this conclusion as:

**Proposition 2.4** *Any map-germ  $(\mathbb{C}^5, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^6, 0)$  with  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension equal to 1 and Boardman type  $\Sigma^2$  has image Milnor number  $\mu_I$  equal to 1.*  $\square$

**Remark 2.5** If  $L_\lambda$  is any path in  $\mathcal{L} \setminus \Delta$  then the argument of Lemma 2.2 shows that the family  $F|_{L_\lambda}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -trivial. The ideas just used prove also

**Proposition 2.6** *If  $F|_L$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 then it is 2-determined for  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence.*

**Proof** Since  $f := F|_L$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1, and there is no stable germ in the same  $\mathcal{K}$ -orbit, its  $\mathcal{A}$ -orbit (in any jet space) must be open in its  $\mathcal{K}$ -orbit, and the tangent spaces to the two orbits coincide at each point of the former. The latter tangent space is easily shown to contain  $m_{\mathbb{C}^5, 0}^2 \theta(f)$ . The result now follows by Mather's Lemma.  $\square$

### 3 The complement of the real discriminant $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$

To determine a list of normal forms for  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 germs  $(\mathbb{R}^5, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^6, 0)$  of type  $\Sigma^2$ , we have to select one real point from each component of  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \setminus \Delta)$ . This can be done as follows: within each component of  $S^3 \setminus \Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the function  $f|_{S^3}$  achieves at least one local maximum or local minimum. The critical points of  $f|_{S^3}$  are the zeros of the ideal  $I$  of  $2 \times 2$  minors of the jacobian matrix of  $(f, r^2)$ , where  $r^2$  is the usual distance-squared function. To eliminate the components lying in  $\Delta$  we calculate the transporter ideal  $I : f$ . Once again by a *Macaulay* calculation, we find that this is equal to

$$(km - 4/7lm, lm - 7/4ln, k^2 - 1/3l^2 + 1/3m^2 - n^2).$$

This ideal defines a curve consisting of the eight lines through  $(0, 0, 0, 0)$ , shown in the left hand column of table 1. The second column shows the signs of the eigenvalues of the Hessian of  $f|_{S^3}$  at the points where these lines meet the sphere. The third column shows information obtained in the next section: it is the dimension of the sphere to which the real section  $L_t \cap E \cap \mathbb{R}^6$  is homotopy-equivalent for  $t \neq 0$ . By a Morse-theoretic argument given in the next section, this is one less than the index displayed in the third column of Table 2.

Line	Eigenvalues	Homotopy dimension of $L_t \cap E \cap \mathbb{R}^6$
$\text{Sp}\{(1, \sqrt{3}, 0, 0)\} \cap S^3$	- - -	4
$\text{Sp}\{(1, -\sqrt{3}, 0, 0)\} \cap S^3$	+ + -	3
$\text{Sp}\{(0, 0, \sqrt{3}, 1)\} \cap S^3$	- - -	4
$\text{Sp}\{(0, 0, -\sqrt{3}, 1)\} \cap S^3$	+ + -	3
$\text{Sp}\{(1, 0, 0, 1)\} \cap S^3$	+ + +	3
$\text{Sp}\{(1, 0, 0, -1)\} \cap S^3$	+ + +	3
$\text{Sp}\{(4, 7, 7, 4)\} \cap S^3$	+ - -	4
$\text{Sp}\{(4, 7, -7, -4)\} \cap S^3$	+ - -	4

Table 1: Critical points of  $f|_{S^3}$

To obtain a representative of each  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence class we need take only those  $F|_L$  for which  $L$  corresponds to one of the lines at which  $f|_{S^3}$  has a local maximum or minimum:  $L = \{A + \sqrt{3}B = 0\}$ ,  $L = \{\sqrt{3}C + D = 0\}$ ,  $L = \{A + D = 0\}$  and  $L = \{A - D = 0\}$ . In fact  $F$  has an obvious symmetry permuting  $x$  and  $y$  in the source and  $U$  and  $W$  in the target, and permuting also  $A$  and  $D$ , and  $B$  and  $C$  in both source and target. This symmetry interchanges the first and second of the hyperplanes just listed, and therefore we do not need to consider both.

## 4 The topology of real perturbations

Let  $L_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathbb{R}^7$  be a hyperplane (containing 0), let  $\mathbf{u}_L \neq 0$  be orthogonal to  $L_{\mathbb{R}}$ , and let  $L_{\mathbb{R},t}$  be the affine translate of  $L_{\mathbb{R}}$  by  $t\mathbf{u}_L$ . If  $F|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}}$  has  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1, then the family  $F_{\mathbb{R}|L_{\mathbb{R},t}}$  is an  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -versal deformation of  $F_{\mathbb{R}|L_{\mathbb{R}}}$ :

From 2.4 it follows (cf [3]) that  $L_{\mathbb{R},t} \cap E_{\mathbb{R}}$  is homotopy-equivalent to a  $d$ -sphere, for some  $d$  between 0 and 5. For by Siersma's result,  $F|_{L_t}$  has just one indicator point; this must therefore be real (otherwise its complex conjugate would also be an indicator point), and now  $L_{\mathbb{R},t} \cap E_{\mathbb{R}}$  is homotopy-equivalent to the boundary of a  $k$ -cell, where  $k$  is the index of  $G_{\mathbb{R}|L_{\mathbb{R},t}}$  at the indicator point. The indicator point can be determined by substituting the values of  $k, l, m$  and  $n$  into the expressions for the generators of the ideal  $\mathcal{I}$  listed in Remark 2.3. The Hessian of  $G|_{L_t}$  at the indicator point can be computed as  $P^t H_G P$ , where  $H_G$  is the Hessian of  $G$  and  $P$  is a  $7 \times 6$  matrix whose columns form an orthonormal basis for  $L$ .

The results of this computation are displayed in Table 2. In principle we should display the signs of the eigenvalues of the Hessian of  $G|_{L_t}$  at the indicator point for two values of  $t$ , one positive and one negative. However, there is an obvious symmetry which renders this unnecessary: if  $(A, B, C, D, U, V, W)$  is the indicator point for  $L_t$  then  $(-A, -B, -C, -D, U, V, W)$  is the indicator point for  $L_{-t}$ , and the Hessian matrices of  $G$  at these two indicator points are the same.

<u>Equation of <math>L</math></u>	<u>Ideal of indicator point</u>	<u>Index</u>
$A + \sqrt{3}B$	$(\sqrt{3}A - B, C, D, U, V, 3W - B^2)$	5
$A - \sqrt{3}B$	$(\sqrt{3}A + B, C, D, U, V, 3W - B^2)$	4
$\sqrt{3}C + D$	$(\sqrt{3}D - C, A, B, W, V, 3U - C^2)$	5
$\sqrt{3}C - D$	$(\sqrt{3}D + C, A, B, W, V, 3U - C^2)$	4
$A + D$	$(B, C, A - D, D^2 - 4V, W, U)$	4
$A - D$	$(B, C, A + D, D^2 + 4V, W, U)$	4
$4A + 7B + 7C + 4D$	$(B - 7/4D, A - D, C - B, D^2 - 48/49W, U - W, V + 86/49W)$	5
$4A + 7B - 7C - 4D$	$(B + 7/4D, A + D, C + B, D^2 - 48/49W, U - W, V - 86/49W)$	5

Table 2: Indicator points and Morse indices

## 5 Concluding Remarks

This counterexample reveals a sharp difference between singularities of corank 1 and of higher corank. The main theorem of [4] states that every  $\mathcal{A}_e$ -codimension 1 multi-germ  $(\mathbb{C}^n, S) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$

( $n \geq p - 1$ ,  $(n, p)$  nice dimensions), in which each component has corank  $\leq 1$ , has a real form with a good real perturbation, and thus a “good real picture”. It is clear from our example here that good real pictures cannot be expected for germs of corank greater than 1.

## 6 Appendix: Geometry of the discriminant $\Delta$

Jan Stevens has pointed out to us that the discriminant  $\Delta$  of Section 3 is the affine cone over the tangent developable surface of the rational normal curve (a twisted cubic) in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  parametrised by

$$(u, v) \mapsto (u^3, -2uv^2, 2u^2v, -v^3).$$

For it is easy to check that the singular locus of  $\Delta$  is the cone over this curve, and then to check that  $\Delta$  coincides with the tangent developable. The tangent developable has a cuspidal edge along the curve, and is otherwise smooth. The map  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$  parametrising the real part of the tangent developable is therefore a homeomorphism onto its image, and from this it follows by a straightforward duality argument that the complement of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$  has just two connected components. Thus, it is no surprise that the eight lines in the complement of  $\Delta_{\mathbb{R}}$  that we listed in Section 3, and the eight hyperplanes in  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^7$  that they correspond to, should give rise to just two inequivalent real codimension 1 map-germs  $F|_L$ .

It also turns out that  $\Delta$  is a free divisor. This is easy to check, using Saito’s criterion (*cf* [11]): a *Macaulay* calculation shows that the module  $\text{Der}(\log \Delta)$  of vector fields on  $\mathcal{L}$  which are tangent to  $\Delta$  is generated by the vector fields  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_4$  whose coefficients in the basis  $\partial/\partial k, \partial/\partial l, \partial/\partial m, \partial/\partial n$  form the columns of the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} k & -3/4k & 0 & -3/4m \\ l & 1/4l & -m & -n \\ m & -1/4m & k & l \\ n & 3/4n & 3/4l & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and the determinant of this matrix is a reduced defining equation of  $\Delta$ . We note for future reference that  $\chi_1 \cdot f = 4f$  and  $\chi_i \cdot f = 0$  for  $i \neq 1$ .

The free divisor  $\Delta$  is unusual in that all of the generating vector fields are linear. This makes it particularly easy to calculate the cohomology of the complement: from its geometrical description,  $\Delta$  is clearly locally quasihomogeneous, and thus we can use the theorem of [2]:

**Theorem 6.1** *If  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is a locally quasihomogeneous free divisor, then integration of forms along cycles defines an isomorphism*

$$h^q(\Gamma(\mathbb{C}^n, \Omega^\bullet(\log \Delta))) \simeq H^q(\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \Delta; \mathbb{C}).$$

□

Via a contracting homotopy defined by the Lie derivative with respect to the Euler vector field, the complex  $\Omega^\bullet(\log \Delta)_0$  is quasi-isomorphic to its subcomplex of weight zero. As all the generating vector fields are linear, they have weight zero, and so dually the generators  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \omega_4$  of  $\Omega^1(\log \Delta)$  (determined by the property that  $\omega_i(\chi_j) = \delta_{i,j}$ ) also have weight

zero. Thus the weight zero subalgebra of  $\Omega^\bullet(\log \Delta)$  is the free exterior algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$  on generators  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_4$ . As soon as we determine the coefficients  $\Gamma_{j,k}^i$  in

$$d\omega_i = \sum_{j < k} \Gamma_{j,k}^i \omega_j \wedge \omega_k$$

which must be simply complex numbers, determination of  $H^*(\mathbb{C}^4 \setminus \Delta)$  is reduced to linear algebra. In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega_1 &= 0 \\ d\omega_2 &= -\omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 \\ d\omega_3 &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_4 \\ d\omega_4 &= -\frac{1}{2} \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) &= \omega_1 \wedge \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 & d(\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3) &= 0 \\ d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_3) &= -\frac{1}{2} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 & d(\omega_2 \wedge \omega_4) &= 0 \\ d(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_4) &= \frac{1}{2} \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_4 & d(\omega_3 \wedge \omega_4) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$d(\omega_i \wedge \omega_j \wedge \omega_k) = 0$$

for all  $i, j, k$ . Hence

**Proposition 6.2**

$$H^q(\mathcal{L} \setminus \Delta; \mathbb{C}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & \text{if } q = 0 \\ \mathbb{C}, \text{ generated by } \omega_1 & \text{if } q = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } q = 2 \\ \mathbb{C}, \text{ generated by } \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 & \text{if } q = 3 \\ \mathbb{C}, \text{ generated by } \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4 & \text{if } q = 4 \end{cases}$$

The equality  $d\omega_2 = -\omega_3 \wedge \omega_4$  shows that the family  $f : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a *logarithmic contact bundle*. For, as with any free divisor  $D = \{f = 0\}$ , the wedge product of all the generators of  $\Omega^1(\log D)$  is equal to the generator of the top-dimensional module of logarithmic differential forms. Since  $\omega_1 = df/f$  can be taken as one of these generators, this shows that the wedge product of the remaining generators of  $\Omega^1(\log D)$  generates the relative dualising module  $\omega_f$  (for it can be written as the “wedge division”  $dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n / df$ ) and thus its restriction to the regular fibres is a holomorphic volume form. Since in our example,  $\omega_2 \wedge d\omega_2 = -\omega_2 \wedge \omega_3 \wedge \omega_4$ , we have

**Proposition 6.3** *The restriction of  $\omega_2$  to each fibre of  $f$  is a contact form, and so  $f : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a logarithmic contact bundle.  $\square$*

We note that a similar phenomenon can never occur for a function with isolated singularity, in any dimension. For if  $f : (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  has isolated singularity at 0 and  $D = f^{-1}(0)$ , then

$$\Omega^1(\log D) = \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}^1 + \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}} \cdot df/f,$$

and so the restriction to the fibre of any logarithmic 1-form  $\omega$  is actually the restriction of a regular form. Hence for any logarithmic 1-form  $\omega$ ,  $df \wedge \omega \wedge (d\omega)^{\wedge n-1} \subset df \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2n}}^{2n-2}$ ; since

$\omega_f/df \wedge \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}}^{2n}$  has positive dimension  $\tau(D)$  if  $f$  is singular, no element of  $df \wedge \Omega^{2n}$  can generate  $\omega_f$ .

We do not know whether this property of  $\Delta$ , or the fact that it is a free divisor, can be deduced from the singularity theory in which it is embedded.

## References

- [1] J.W.Bruce and R.M.Roberts, Critical points of functions on analytic varieties, *Topology* Vol. 27 No. 1, 1988, 57-90
- [2] F. Castro, D.Mond and L. Narváez, Cohomology of the complement of a free divisor, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 348, No.8, 1996, pp 3037-3049
- [3] T.Cooper and D.Mond, Complex monodromy and changing real pictures, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) 57 (1998) 599-608
- [4] T.Cooper, D.Mond and R.Wik Atique, Vanishing topology of codimension 1 germs over  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ , *to appear*, *Compositio Math.*
- [5] J.N.Damon, *The unfolding and determinacy theorems for subgroups of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$* , A.M.S. Memoirs 306, Providence R.I. 1984
- [6] J.N.Damon,  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence and equivalence of sections of images and discriminants, *Singularity Theory and Applications, Warwick 1989*, Part I, (D. Mond and J. Montaldi eds.), *Lecture Notes in Maths.* 1462, Springer Verlag, 1991, 93-121
- [7] J.N.Mather, Stability of  $C^\infty$  mappings IV, Classification of stable germs by  $\mathbb{R}$ -algebras, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* 37, 1969, 223-248
- [8] J.N.Mather, Stability of  $C^\infty$  mappings VI, The nice dimensions, *Singularities, Liverpool 1971*, C.T.C.Wall ed., *Lecture Notes in Maths.* 192, Springer Verlag, 1972, 207-253
- [9] D.Mond, How good are real pictures?, *Algebraic and Analytic Geometry, La Rábida 1991*, A. Campillo and L.Narváez, eds., *Progress in Math.* 134, Birkhauser Verlag, 1996, 259-276.
- [10] D.Mond, Differential forms on free and almost free divisors, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) 81 (2000) 587-617
- [11] K.Saito, Theory of logarithmic differential forms and logarithmic vector fields, *J.Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. Math.* **27** (1980), 265-291
- [12] D. Siersma, Vanishing cycles and special fibres, *Singularity Theory and Applications, Warwick 1989, Part I*, (D. Mond and J.Montaldi eds.), *Lecture Notes in Math* 1462, Springer Verlag 1991, pp 292-301
- [13] R. Wik Atique, On the classification of multi-germs of maps from  $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$  under  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence, in J.W.Bruce and F. Tari (eds.) *Real and Complex Singularities*, *Research Notes in Math.* Chapman and Hall/CRC 119-133