

The Lebesgue - Nagell - Ramanujan Equation

Samir Siksek — University of Warwick

— joint work with J. Cremona (Nottingham)

— joint work with Y. Bugeaud }
M. Mignotte } Strasbourg

LNR equation

$$x^2 + D = y^n$$

$$x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$$
$$n \geq 3$$

History I

Fermat }
Euler (1770) }

$$x^2 + 2 = y^3 \Rightarrow \begin{matrix} x = \pm 5 \\ y = 3 \end{matrix}$$

Ramanujan 1913 proposed }
Nagell 1948 solved }

$$x^2 + 7 = 2^n$$



$$x = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \pm 11, \pm 181$$

$$x^2 + D = y^n \quad (2)$$

Fixed n , Arbitrary y

Example $x^2 + 2 = y^3$

Factor over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ (PID)

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(x + \sqrt{-2})(x - \sqrt{-2})}_{\text{coprime}} = y^3$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow x + \sqrt{-2} &= (u + v\sqrt{-2})^3 \\ &= (u^3 - 6uv^2) + (3u^2v - 2v^3)\sqrt{-2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 = v(3u^2 - 2v^2) \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{coeff of} \\ \sqrt{-2} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow v = \pm 1, u = \pm 1$$

$$\Rightarrow x = \pm 5, y = 3.$$

Example $x^2 + 25 = y^3$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad x + 5i &= (u + iv)^3 \\ \Rightarrow 3u^2v - v^3 &= 5 \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{coeff of } i \end{array} \right) \\ \Rightarrow v &= \pm 1, \pm 5 \\ \Rightarrow &\text{no solutions} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad x + 5i = (10 + 5i)(u + iv)^3$$

$$\Rightarrow 5u^3 + 30u^2v - 15uv^2 - 10v^3 = 5$$

$$\Rightarrow u^3 + 6u^2v - 3uv^2 - 2v^3 = 1 \quad (3)$$

This equation
can be solved by MAGMA

$$\Rightarrow u = 1, v = 0 \Rightarrow x = 10, y = 5$$

$$(iii) \quad x + 5i = (-10 + 5i)(u + iv)^3 \\ \Rightarrow x = -10, y = 5$$

Summary $x^2 + D = y^n$ n fixed

- factor LHS
- get finitely many Thue eqns
- solve using MAGMA

(algorithm of Bilu & Hanrot).

(practical for $n \leq 20$)

History II (n arbitrary)

Lebesgue 1850 $x^2 + 1 = y^n$ $n \geq 3$

Nagell 1923 $x^2 + 3 = y^n$
 $x^2 + 5 = y^n$

hundreds of people

(4)

John Cohn 1993 completed the soln
of $x^2 + D = y^n$ for $1 \leq D \leq 100$
except

$D = 7, 15, 18, 23, 25, 31, 39, 45,$
 $47, 60, 63, 71, 72, 79, 87, 92, 99,$
 $100.$

19 bad values of D

How to Deal with Good Values of D ?

For good D , write $D = D_1^2 D_2$
 D_2 square-free.

Suppose $n = p$ prime. Then

$$(x + D_1 \sqrt{-D_2})(x - D_1 \sqrt{-D_2}) = y^p$$

$$\Rightarrow x + D_1 \sqrt{-D_2} = (u + v \sqrt{-D_2})^p$$

for $p \geq C$

$$\Rightarrow v \mid D_1 \text{ etc.}$$

For bad D

$$x + D_1 \sqrt{-D_2} = \underbrace{(a + b \sqrt{-D_2})}_{\neq 1} (u + v \sqrt{-D_2})^p$$

Cremona & Siksek 2002:

(5)

Apply the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem

to $x^2 + D = y^p$ (because of variable exponent)

Proof Sketch of FLT (Wiles)

Suppose $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ are coprime, $abc \neq 0$

$$a^p + b^p + c^p = 0, \quad p \geq 5 \text{ prime.}$$

Associate to this the 'Frey elliptic curve'

$$E: Y^2 = X(X - a^p)(X + b^p)$$

Wiles: E is modular.

Ribet's Theorem \implies Galois representation on $E[p]$ arises from a cusp form at level 2.

But, there are no cusp forms at level 2. Contradiction. \square

Return to $x^2 + 7 = y^p$

$p \geq 11$

(6)

Frey elliptic curve

$$E_x: Y^2 = X^3 + xX^2 + \left(\frac{x^2+7}{4}\right)X$$

Wiles $\Rightarrow E_x$ is modular

Ribet's Theorem \Rightarrow Galois representation on $E_x[p]$ arises from a cusp form at level 14.

Cusp form at level 14 corresponds to

$$E: Y^2 + XY + Y = X^3 + 4X - 6.$$

[diverged from proof of FLT]

'... arises from ...' means:

\forall primes $l \neq 2, 7$

(i) if $l \nmid y$ then

$$\left(\# E_x \bmod l\right) \equiv \left(\# E \bmod l\right) \bmod p$$

(ii) if $l \mid y$ then

$$\left(\# E \bmod l\right) \equiv 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 2l+2 \bmod p.$$

Fix $p \geq 11$. We want to get a 7
contradiction [adapting ideas of Kraus].

Choose a prime l such that

- $l = mp + 1$
- $(\# E \bmod l) \not\equiv 0, 2l + 2 \pmod p$.

By (ii) $l \nmid y$. Hence

$$(\# E_x \bmod l) \equiv (\# E \bmod l) \pmod p$$

$$\Rightarrow x \equiv x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \pmod l.$$

$$\text{But } x^2 + 7 = y^p$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (x^2 + 7)^m &= y^{mp} \\ &= y^{l-1} \quad (l = mp + 1) \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod l \end{aligned}$$

If $(x_i^2 + 7)^m \not\equiv 1 \pmod l \quad i = 1, \dots, r$

then contradiction.

Get a criterion for non-existence
of solutions for any particular value
of p .

Theorem (Cremona & Siksek 2002)

(8)

The equation $x^2 + 7 = y^p$ (p prime)
does not have solutions for

$$11 \leq p \leq 10^8.$$

[Computation
took 4 days]

History III $x^2 + 7 = y^p$

Baker's theory \Rightarrow bounds for p

Baker & Wüstholz 1993 $\Rightarrow p \leq 6.6 \times 10^{15}$

Matveev 1999 $\Rightarrow p \leq 6.81 \times 10^{12}$

Mignotte 2003 $\Rightarrow p \leq 1.11 \times 10^9$

Bugeand, Mignotte & Siksek

Lemma Suppose $p \geq 11$. Then

$$y \geq (\sqrt{p} - 1)^2 \quad (\text{Modular lower bound for } y)$$

Proof Let $l \mid y$. Then

$$(\#E \bmod l) \equiv 0 \quad \text{or} \quad 2l + 2 \bmod p.$$

Case 1 $(\# E \bmod l) \equiv 0 \bmod p.$ (9)

Hasse-Weil

$$l+1-2\sqrt{l} \leq (\# E \bmod l) \leq l+1+2\sqrt{l}$$

Then $p \leq (\# E \bmod l)$

$$\leq l+1+2\sqrt{l} = (\sqrt{l}+1)^2$$

$$\therefore l \geq (\sqrt{p}-1)^2.$$

But $l|y \quad \therefore y \geq (\sqrt{p}-1)^2.$

Case 2 Similar. \square

Suppose $p \geq 11$. Then $p \geq 10^8$.

$$\therefore y \geq (\sqrt{10^8}-1)^2 = 9999^2$$

i.e. y is big. Baker's theory now works better. Get

$$p \leq 1.81 \times 10^8$$

Re-run the program upto this new bound.

Theorem The only solutions to

$$x^2 + 7 = y^n \quad n \geq 3$$

are

n	3	3	4	5	5	7	15
x	±1	±181	±3	±5	±181	±11	±181
y	2	32	±2	2	8	2	2

Also solved $x^2 + D = y^n$ for $1 \leq D \leq 100$.

Role of MAGMA

- Reducing to Thue eqns and solving for small n
 - Computing cusp forms at levels predicted by Ribet's Theorem
 - Computing elliptic curves corresponding to rational cusp forms
- } Modular forms package
Stein +
Elliptic curve database
Cremona

Theorem Bugeaud, Mignotte & Siksek

Let $\{F_n\}$ be the Fibonacci sequence:

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = F_2 = 1, F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n.$$

The only perfect powers in the Fib. sequence are

$$F_0 = 0, F_1 = F_2 = 1, F_6 = 8, F_{12} = 144.$$

Proved again using modularity + Baker's theory — but much, much deeper.

Theorem BMS

The only solutions to

$$7^u x^n - 2^r 3^s y^n = \pm 1 \quad \begin{array}{l} n \geq 3 \\ u, r, s > 0 \end{array}$$

are

$$7 \times 1^n - 2 \times 3 \times 1^n = 1$$

$$7^2 \times 1^n - 2^4 \times 3 \times 1^n = 1$$

$$7 \times 5^4 - 2 \times 3^7 \times 1^4 = 1.$$

Proved using

- multi-Frey curves
- Baker's theory
- Deep theorems of M. Bennett.

Challenge

Show that the only solutions to $x^2 - 2 = y^p$ are

$$(\pm 1)^2 - 2 = (-1)^p$$

Current method fails for $x^2 - (a^2 + 1) = y^p$ but seems to work for $x^2 - D = y^p$ if $D \neq a^2 + 1$.

Papers

(13)

1. Siksek & Cremona

"On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + 7 = y^m$ "
Acta Arithmetica 109.2 (2003)

2. Bugeaud, Mignotte & Siksek

"Classical & Modular Approaches to
Diophantine Equations I: Fibonacci
and Lucas Perfect Powers",
Annals of Math. (to appear).

3. Bugeaud, Mignotte & Siksek

"Classical & Modular Approaches to
Diophantine Equations II: The Lebesgue-
Nagell Equations" *Compositio Math.*
(to appear).

4. Bugeaud, Mignotte & Siksek

"A Multi-Frey Approach to some
Multi-Parameter Families of Diophantine
Equations"