

HOMEWORK 6 SOLUTIONS

MATH 171, SPRING 2003

- (1) **Section 4.4, problem 4** Let $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g(t) = f(c(t))$. Then g is continuous and $[0, 1]$ is compact, hence g assumes minimum and maximum values on $[0, 1]$. But this is the same thing as f assumes minimum and maximum values on the curve.
- (2) **Section 4.5, problem 3** Consider $g(x) = f(x) - x$. Then g is a continuous function on the connected set $[0, 1]$ with $g(0) \geq 0$, and $g(1) \leq 0$, so by the Intermediate Value Theorem there exists $c \in [0, 1]$ with $g(1) \leq g(c) = 0 \leq g(0)$. For that c we have $f(c) - c = 0$, so $f(c) = c$.
- (3) **Section 4.6, problem 3** No. Consider $f(x) = \sin(x^2)$. If f were uniformly continuous then we could find a $\delta > 0$ for which $|y - x| < \delta$ meant that $|f(y) - f(x)| < 1$. Suppose that this is the case, and choose x with $\sin(x^2) = 0$ for which the closest y with $\sin(y^2) = \pm 1$ has $|y - x| < \delta$. But then $f(y) - f(x) = 1$, contradicting our choice of δ . Choosing $x > \pi/4\delta$ suffices, as then $(x + \delta)^2 - x^2 > \pi/2$, so there is a y with $y^2 = x^2 + \pi/2$ and $y - x < \delta$.
- (4) **Section 4.6, problem 7**
- (a) We showed in the first question that \sqrt{x} is a continuous function, so since $[0, 1]$ is closed and bounded and thus compact we know that it is uniformly continuous.
- (b) The function f does not have bounded derivative on $(0, 1]$, and is not differentiable at 0. So it is necessary to have bounded derivative to be uniformly continuous. However having bounded derivative does guarantee that the function is uniformly continuous.
- (5) **Section 4.7, problem 1** Let $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n |x - x_i|$. Then f is the sum of continuous functions, so is continuous. Let $g_i(x) = |x - x_i|$, so $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(x)$. Note that $g_i(x) = x - x_i$ for $x > x_i$, and $g_i(x) = x_i - x$ for $x < x_i$, so $g(x)$ is differentiable for $x \neq x_i$. However for all $n > 0$ $(g_i(x_i - 1/n) - g_i(x_i))/(-1/n) = -1$ while $(g_i(x_i + 1/n) - g_i(x_i))/(1/n) = 1$,

so $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (g_i(x_i + h) - g_i(x_i))/h$ does not exist, and so g_i is not differentiable at x .

Since the sum of differentiable functions is differentiable, it follows that f is differentiable for $x \neq x_i$ for any i . If f were differentiable at x_i for some i , then $f - \sum_{j \neq i} g_j$, which is the sum of functions which are all differentiable at x_i , would be differentiable at x_i . But this function is g_i , which we just showed is not differentiable at x_i . So f fails to be differentiable exactly at the points x_i .

- (6) **Section 5.1, problem 2 Section 5.1, problem 2:** The sequence f_n doesn't converge uniformly. In fact f_n converges pointwise to the function $f(x)$ given by :

$$f(x) = x \text{ for } x < 1 \text{ and } f(1) = 0$$

This function $f(x)$ is not continuous at $x = 1$, so the convergence can't be uniform (see Proposition 5.1.4).

- (7) **Chapter 5 exercises, problem 20**

(a) (Picture omitted).

(b) Set $g_k(x) = (1/4^{k-1})g(4^{k-1}x)$. Note that $|g_k(x)| \leq 1/(2 \cdot 4^{k-1})$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 1/(2 \cdot 4^{k-1})$ converges to $2/3$, so $f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x)$ converges uniformly by the Weierstrass M -test. Since the space of bounded continuous functions is complete (See section 5.5), we know that f is continuous.

(c) Let $f_k = \sum_{n=1}^k g_n(x)$. Fix a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We will show that f is not differentiable at x . We first define two sequences which converge to x . On any bounded interval $g_k(x)$ is differentiable except at a finite number of points. Let x_k be the greatest such point less than or equal to x , and let y_k be the least point greater than x . For example, if $x = 1/3$, $x_1 = 0$, $y_1 = 1/2$, $x_2 = 1/4$, $y_2 = 3/8$, ... Note that $0 \leq x - x_k < 1/(2 \cdot 4^{k-1})$, $0 < y_k - x \leq 1/(2 \cdot 4^{k-1})$, and $y_k - x_k = 1/(2 \cdot 4^{k-1})$.

Note also that $g_l(x_k) = g_l(y_k) = 0$ for $l > k$, so $f_k(x_k) = f(x_k)$ and $f_k(y_k) = f(y_k)$.

If $l \leq k$ then x_k, x_{k+1}, y_k , and y_{k+1} all lie on the same linear "branch" of $g_l(x)$, so $(g_l(x_k) - g_l(y_k))/(x_k - y_k) = (g_l(x_{k+1}) - g_l(y_{k+1}))/(x_{k+1} - y_{k+1})$. This ratio is either $+1$ or -1 , depending on which slope of the branch of g_l . Set $h_k = (f_k(x_k) - f_k(y_k))/(x_k - y_k)$. We just showed that $|h_{k+1} - h_k| = |(g_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) - g_{k+1}(y_{k+1}))/(x_{k+1} - y_{k+1})| =$

1, so the sequence h_k is not Cauchy so does not converge. Note that by the previous paragraph $h_k = (f(x_k) - f(y_k))/(x_k - y_k)$.

To complete the proof we need the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *If a function $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at y , then given $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ for which if $x < y < z$ with $y - x, z - y < \delta$ then*

$$\left| \frac{h(z) - h(x)}{z - x} - h'(y) \right| < \epsilon.$$

Proof. Since $h'(y)$ exists, given $\epsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ for which if $0 < |y' - y| < \delta$, then

$$\left| \frac{h(y') - h(y)}{y' - y} - h'(y) \right| < \epsilon,$$

so if $y' > y$ we have $h'(y)(y' - y) - \epsilon(y' - y) < h(y') - h(y) < h'(y)(y' - y) + \epsilon(y' - y)$. If $y' < y$ after rearranging we get $h'(y)(y - y') - \epsilon(y - y') < h(y) - h(y') < h'(y)(y - y') + \epsilon(y - y')$. Substituting in $y' = z$ to the first equation and $y' = x$ into the second, and adding

$$h'(y)(z - x) - \epsilon(z - x) < h(z) - h(x) < h'(y)(z - x) + \epsilon(z - x),$$

since $z - x = (z - y) + (y - x)$. Dividing through by $z - x$, which is positive, gives the desired result. \square

Now suppose that f is differentiable at x . Given $\epsilon > 0$, pick δ as in the lemma, and chose N such that $1/(2 \cdot 4^{k-1}) < \delta$ for $k > N$. Then the lemma says that $|h_k - f'(x)| < \epsilon$ for $k > N$. This shows that h_k converges to $f'(x)$, which contradicts our assertion that it is not even Cauchy. From this we conclude that f is not differentiable at x .

The idea of this proof is due to Alessandro Magnani.

- (8) **Chapter 5 exercises, problem 23** No. Let $f(x) = 1$ for $x \neq 0$, and let $f(0) = 2$. Then $f \circ f(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so $f \circ f$ is continuous, but f is not continuous.